



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,551	12/20/2003	Stefan Gudmundsson	07589.0143.PCUS00	1550
28694	7590	04/06/2006	EXAMINER	
NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP 1300 EYE STREET NW 400 EAST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005			NGUYEN, DAVID Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/707,551	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GUDMUNDSSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> David Q. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2681	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term “at least one of operator and object related information in view of the selected station” is not clear. What does “in view of the selected central station” mean?

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-18,20-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marlowe (WO 98/10602) in view of Antonucci et al. (US 6,819,929 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Marlowe discloses a system for providing a communication link between a central station (see fig. 1; central site system 300) and a remote mobile or stationary object (see fig. 1, remote unit 100) by means of transmitting and receiving communication means

Art Unit: 2681

(see fig. 1; telecommunication system 200) for speech and data transmission (see page 7, line 23 to page 10, line 4; page 10, line 2), the communication link comprises both a speech transmission link between the central station and the operator of the remote object (see fig. 1 and page 7, line 23 to page 10, line 4; page 10, line 2), a data transmission link between the remote object and the central station, wherein the system comprises a centralized communication and a database server, the data transmission link being routed via a centralized communication and database server (see fig. 1, database server 300C) for handling at least one of operator and object related information (see fig. 1 and page 7, line 23 to page 10, line 4; page 10, line 2). Marlowe does not mention the central station is selected out of a number of individual, different central stations. However, Antonucci et al. discloses a central station is selected out of a number of individual, different central stations (see fig. 6, col. 17, lines 1-13 and col. 19, lines 8-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Antonucci et al to the system of Marlowe in order to provide information requested by mobile user accurately and quickly.

Regarding claim 2, Marlowe also discloses wherein the communication and database server comprises a communication server with functionality for handling operator and object identification (see fig. 1 and page 23, line 1 to page 24, line 17), an operator and object information database as well an application server with functionality for making relevant information available to the central station (see fig. 1 and page 23, line 1 to page 24, line 17).

Regarding claim 3, Marlowe also discloses wherein the application server is provided with functionality for updating operator and object information (see fig. 1 and page 23, line 1 to page 24, line 17).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Marlowe also discloses wherein the communication links are established via a cellular communication network or a satellite communication network (see fig. 1); wherein the central station is a customer service center and the remote object is remote object is one of a vehicle, a boat, a plane and a remote facility (see fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6, Marlowe also discloses wherein the central station is a customer service center and the remote object is remote object is one of a vehicle, a boat, and a plane equipped with a Global Positioning System for providing information regarding the remote object's position (see fig. 1 and page 23, line 2 to 13).

Regarding claim 7, Marlowe discloses a method for providing a communication link between a central station and a remote mobile or stationary object (see fig. 1 and explanation in claim 1), characterized in the steps of establishing a speech connection between the central station and the remote object (see page 7, line 23 to page 10, line 4; page 10, line 2), and simultaneously establishing data connections between the remote object and a communication and database server for handling at least one of operator and object related information in view of the central station as well as between the central station and said communication and database server (see page 7, line 23 to page 10, line 4; page 10, line 2). Marlowe does not mention the central station is selected out of a number of individual, different central stations. However, Antonucci et al. discloses a central station is selected out of a number of individual, different central stations (see fig. 6, col. 17, lines 1-13 and col. 19, lines 8-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Antonucci et al to the system of Marlowe in order to provide information requested by mobile user accurately and quickly.

Regarding claim 8, Marlowe also discloses the steps of locating the position of the remote object (see page 20, lines 23-27), controlling the functional and operational status of the remote object and its operator, and adapting the response to the type of service requested (see page 21, lines 1-7).

Regarding claim 9, Marlowe also discloses the steps of providing the communication and database server with the functionality for adding, removing and updating services (see page 33, line 17 to page 34, line 5).

Regarding claim 10, Marlowe discloses a method for activating a service center response to a vehicle service request call, said method comprising: providing a system for establishing a communication link between a central station and a remote mobile or stationary object (see explanation in claims 1 and 7); and transmitting and receiving speech and data communications transmission via the communication link that comprises both a speech transmission link between the central station and the operator of the remote object, as well as a data transmission link between the remote object and the central station which is routed via a centralized communication and database server for handling at least one of operator and object related information in view of the central station (see explanation in claims 1 and 7). Marlowe does not mention the central station is selected out of a number of individual, different central stations. However, Antonucci et al. discloses a central station is selected out of a number of individual, different central stations (see fig. 6, col. 17, lines 1-13 and col. 19, lines 8-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Antonucci et al to the system of Marlowe in order to provide information requested by mobile user accurately and quickly.

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 11, Marlowe also discloses wherein the communication and database server comprises a communication server with functionality for handling operator and object identification (see explanation in claim 2), an operator and object information database as well as an application server with functionality for making relevant information available to the central station (see explanation in claim 2).

Regarding claim 12, Marlowe also discloses wherein the application server is provided with functionality for updating operator and object information (see explanation in claim 3).

Regarding claim 13, Marlowe also discloses wherein the communication links are established via a cellular communication network or a satellite communication network (see explanation in claim 4).

Regarding claim 14, Marlowe also discloses wherein the central station is a customer service center and the remote object is one of a vehicle, a boat, a plane and a remote facility (see explanation in claim 5).

Regarding claim 15, Marlowe also discloses wherein the central station is a customer service center and the remote object is one of a land vehicle, a boat, and a plane equipped with a Global Positioning System for providing location information about the remote object (see explanation in claim 6).

Regarding claims 16-17, 20 and 23, the system of Marlowe in view of Antonucci et al. also discloses wherein the different central stations have different interfaces (see fig. 6 of Antonucci et al.), and the centralized communication and database server is adapted to handle at least one of operator and object related information in view of the interface of the selected

Art Unit: 2681

central station (see fig. 6 and its description of Antonucci et al.); wherein each central station is a national service center operator (see fig. 6 and its description of Antonucci et al.).

Regarding claims 18,21, and 24, the system of Marlowe in view of Antonucci et al does not mention wherein the speech transmission link is separate from data transmission link.

However, the speech transmission link being separate from data transmission link is well known in the art as disclosed by Jayapalan (see abstract of US 5533019). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching in order to avoid congestion of voice link.

4. Claims 19,22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marlowe (WO 98/10602) in view of Antonucci et al. (US 6,819,929 B2) and further in view of Lichter et al. (US 6,256,489 B1).

Regarding claims 19,22, and 25, the system of Marlowe in view of Antonucci et al does not mention wherein the speech transmission link is provided directly between the selected central station and the operator of the remote object. However, Lichter et al. discloses the speech transmission link is provided directly between the selected central station and the operator of the remote object. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Lichter et al. to the system so that users can report to PSAP clearly and quickly.

### ***Conclusion***



Art Unit: 2681

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7844. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH H. FEILD can be reached on (571)272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*m*

David Nguyen

  
**JOSEPH FEILD**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**